

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/666,910		ZARA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Igor Borissov		3639	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Igor Borissov. (3) _____
 (2) Representative Patrick C. Keane. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 14.


Identification of prior art discussed: the prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 IGOR N. BORISSOV
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview a possible amendments to the claim to overcome teachings of the prior art were discussed. Examiner indicated that the prior art of record does not teach limitations introduced in claims amendment of 6/12/2006. As a result of the interview, the Representative gave authorization for the Examiner Amendment to introduce the discussed and agreed amendments to the claims necessary to place the application in the condition of allowance .